USDC SD: **DOCUM** ELECTRIC COLLAR FILE

> LAWRENCE R. REICH ROBIN REICH JEFFREY A. REICH

> > JOANNE PRICE

ALSO ADMITTED IN CT

Sent Via Fax (212) 805-6724

The Honorable Frank Maas United State District Court 500 Pearl Street New York, NY 10007

Dear Judge Maas:

MEMO ENDORSED REICH REICH & REICH.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW THE NORTHCOURT BUILDING 175 MAIN STREET - SUITE BOD WHITE PLAINS, NEW YORK 10601-3257

> (914) 949-2126 FAX (914) 949-1604 e-mail Reichlaw@aol.com

> > I see us need for a

SIDNEY H. REICH (1904-1990)

Forte conference at Thes time.

Nobode disputes Hour Ms. Zacarro June 13, 2008 15 entitled to \$19,000, Plus

the luterer Thereon. Accordance

a sum corresponding to that Should be avuilable for Ms.

Re: Metropolitan Life Insurance Company v. Frances Zaccaro

Docket Number: 1:08 CV 459

2 a carro la drew dour.

Please be advised that this firm represents the defendant Frances Zaccaro.

Maus, USMV,

I am writing this letter following this morning's court teleconference regarding the above. It was my original understanding that plaintiff MetLife was directed to release the "interest" on the subject account, however, after speaking with counsel Allan Marcus, it is the Plaintiff's position that the Plaintiff was only directed to release the "\$ 9,000 benefit." However, Mr. Marcus has always known that the original \$ 9,000 was never an issue regarding the action nor is presently in the subject account.

Be that as it may, as I prefaced defendant's argument to release the account (or a portion thereof). I made specific reference to those funds that had been reported to the IRS as 1099 income. That was the portion I had referenced, and the portion I believed that the Court directed be released. At this time, I am unsure and I apologize for my misunderstanding.

It is therefore respectfully requested that a teleconference be scheduled some time early next week for purposes of clarification or, alternatively, addressing this issue at the next teleconference.

of counsel